Judge Hellerstein

York and elsewhere ALVARO ARBILA-ROJAS, a/k/a "Mickey," DAVID DONADO, DENISE DON.DO, the defendants, and others known and unknown, unlawfull, wilfully and knowingly did combine, conspire, confeder te and agree together and with each other to violate Section 19 6 (a)(l)(B) of Title 18, United States Code.

2. It was a part and an object of the money

Albundering conspir cy that ALVARO ARDILA-ROJAS, a/k/a "Mickey,"

David Donado, Denia E Donado, the defendants, and co-conspirators not named as defendants herein in an offense involving and affecting interstale and forein in commerce, knowing that property affecting interstale and forein and for

The Grand Jury charges:

(Money Laundering Conspiracy)

including in or about July 2005, in the Southern District of New

COUNT ONE

From in or about November 2004, up to and

DOC #:

DOC ONE |

DOC

Defendants.

DEVISE DONADO,

a/k/a "Mackey,"

ALOA-ALIGHA OHAVLA

-4-

21 02 CE: 108

UNITED STATES OF A LERICA

: INDICLMENT

- - - 4 - - - - - - - -

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN PISTRICT COURT

transportation of hillion dollhr quantities of drug proceeds from DENIZE DONADO, the defendants, discussed the concealment and b. Non or about March 20, 2005, DAVID DONADO and

to conceal and tramsport these narcotics proceeds. further agreed to end DAVID DONADO, the defendant, to New York transportation of Marcotics praceeds from New York to Mexico and ROJAS, a/k/a "Mickyy," the defandant, agreed to assist in the a. On or about February 25, 2005, ALVARO ARDILA-

others, were committed: the illegal object thereof, the following overt acts, among

In Murtherance of said conspiracy and to effect

SJOA JINO

United States Code activity, in viola ion of Sect on 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) of Title 18, ownership and contilol of the proceeds of specified unlawful or in part to condal and disguise the nature, location, source, trafficking, knowing that the transactions were designed in whole proceeds of specified unlawful activity, to wit, narcotics conduct financial aransactions which in fact involved the wilfully, and know ngly would and did conduct and attempt to the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, unlawfully, custody and transfar of United States currency which represented involved in certain financial transactions, to wit, the receipt,

ε

defendants:

5. If Any of the property described above as being subject to forfeithre, as a result of any act or omission of the

Substitute Assets Provision

a. A sum of money no less than \$35,000,000 in United States currency, in that such sum in the aggregate is property which was involved in the money laundering conspiracy offense, or is trateable to such property for which the defendants are jointly and severally liable.

following:

4. As a result of dommitting the foregoing offense alleged in Count Q e of this Indictment, in violation of Title 18, United States tode, Section 1956(h), ALVARO ARDILA-ROJAS, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, all property, real and personal, involved in the money laundering offense and all property traced in the money laundering offense and all property involved in the money property including but not limited to the

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

New York to Mexico and supplied materials and devices that would be used to package and conceal the narcotics proceeds.

(Title 18 United States Code, Section 1956(h).)

DAVID N. KELLEY
United States Attorney

Section 982 and Title 18, United Section 1956).

eboS estate betinu ,81 eltiT)

forfeitable properity.

LOKEPERSON

orper property of the defendant up to the value of the

United States Code Section 85%(p), to seek forfeiture of any

it is the intention of the United States, pursuant to Title 21,

cannot be divided without difficulty;

e. | has been commingled with other property which

zо

d. has been substantially diminished in value;

the court;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of

with, a third party;

b. has been tansferred or sold to, or deposited

diligence;

a. | cannot be wocated upon the exercise of due

MOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Form No. USA-338-274 (Ed. 9-25-58)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

OUAMOU GIVED $\mathbf{g} \setminus \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathbf{g} \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathbf{g} \setminus \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathbf{g}$ SALOR ALIGNA ONAVIA

DENIZE DONYDO

IND CLWENT

21 02 Cr. 708

Ltle 18, United States Code,

Section 1956(h).

Defendants.

nited States Attorney. DAVID N. KELLEY

A TAME BILL